

Summary of WB Letter Dated on May 9, 2013 Regarding PG&E's Modifications to the WHRW Program

PG&E Requested Modifications from January 10 and February 7 Letters	Water Board (WB) Responses to PG&E's Request to Modifications to the WHRW Program
<p>1. Request of January 10 and March 11 for Changes to Monitoring of Ion-Exchange (IO) and Reverse Osmosis (RO) Systems</p>	<p>a) WB denies the request for IX resin leachate monitoring at each property.</p> <p>b) Accepts request to monitor each RO Unit at start-up then every six months thereafter.</p> <p>c) For households that decline the RO unit, the compliance point to be the water treated from the IX Unit.</p>
<p>2. Request from February 7 for a 90 Day Extension to Reexamine Whole House Replacement Water (WHRW) Options</p>	<p>WB denied this request for 90 extension for all deadlines but is willing to accept PG&E's Addendum for the WHRW FS. WB has already granted a five month extension for those residents that have not signed an access agreement in the WB letter dated April 18, 2013.</p>
<p>3. Request from February 7 Letter to Allow Residents to Decline an RO Unit</p>	<p>WB accepted this request on the condition that PG&E provide residents with clear information regarding how this decision may affect the quality of water delivered by the IX system alone.</p>
<p>4. Request from February 7 Letter that Provision of Interim Replacement Water be Satisfied with Commercially Available Bottle Water</p>	<p>WB denied request but is willing to change the requirement for bottle water from non-detect to 1.2 ppb for Cr6. 1.2 ppb is the average Cr6 concentration in the Hinkley calculated from the 2007 Background Study.</p>
<p>5. Request from February 7 Letter to Re-evaluate the 1-Mile Buffer</p>	<p>Currently the WB will not change the 1-mile buffer but is willing to reconsider scientific based technical information to establish a buffer zone.</p>