

From: [Kouyoumdjian, Patty@Waterboards](mailto:Kouyoumdjian.Patty@Waterboards)
To: Daron Banks
Cc: Kemper, Lauri@Waterboards; Booth, Richard@Waterboards; Genera, Sue@Waterboards
Subject: RE: PG&E presentations
Date: Friday, October 18, 2013 4:10:29 PM

Hello Daron:

Thank you for sharing your concerns regarding presentations before the Regional Board. We are examining our procedures for handouts and PowerPoint presentations before the Board and I will be getting back to you shortly regarding our new process. Our new process will guide all agenda items before the Regional Board, not just those affecting the Hinkley community. Also, I will make sure that the clarification to your request for amendment to the Whole House Replacement CAO is considered as part of our public comments.

Patty Zwarts Kouyoumdjian, Executive Officer
Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board
2501 Lake Tahoe Blvd.
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150
(530) 542-5412 Phone
(530) 544-2271 FAX
pzkouyoumdjian@waterboards.ca.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Daron Banks [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 13, 2013 5:36 PM
To: Kouyoumdjian, Patty@Waterboards; Kemper, Lauri@Waterboards
Subject: PG&E presentations

Patty and Laurie, I am writing this to ask that the board force PG&E to use the guidelines set by CAO when drawing the plume maps. At the last board meeting Kevin Sullivan used a map that did not show the plume accurately it is misleading for the community and board. In our working group meetings we have asked them many times to make all maps according to the requirements of the order they have stated they would, but just like everything else PG&E does. They say okay then they do what they want knowing there will be no repercussions. The last presentation from PG&E was especially disturbing for me it is clear that they know the things they are doing are wrong. Sullivan's presentation about where people moved to does not have anything to do with Hinkley or what they have done. Also Sullivan's chart showing the levels of chromium 6 in domestic wells are was again misleading as you and others know chromium 6 is more abundant at certain levels in the aquifer so we really are not sure what someones levels are under their property unless move their screens or place a monitoring well near by. I want to request that PG&E no longer makes presentations to the board unless it is approved by Lahontan Water Board and is about the remediation or at least not misleading. I wanted to thank Lisa and Laurie for their presentations because they explained what PG&E is really asking the board. They clarified what my and PG&E's requests are to the board and community very well, If PG&E continues presenting propoganda, half truths and their rederac its vital that someone of authority presents the truth the board has to make decisions and absolutely needs to hear the facts of what PG&E is trying to do.

In regards to my request about revising the CAO for plume boundaries I wanted to clarify in writing if all plumes above 3.1ppb for two quarters are not included in the continuous plume then they should become separate plumes themselves with a one mile buffer.

Thanks, Daron Banks

Sent from my iPad